

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003	Good	Average	Yes

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

27.5 English/Language Arts **Mathematics** English/Language Arts **Mathematics**

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Definition of Critical Terms

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations Advanced **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	leachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	10	34	34
Percent satisfied with learning environment	90.0%	81.3%	75.8%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.0%	85.3%	63.6%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	60.0%	81.8%	73.5%

Tamassee-Salem Middle 3701024 PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP on Proficient and, Jay of Testing olo Balom Basic State Objective olo Proficient olo Advanced Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts All students 134 99.3 33.6 42.9 21.8 1.7 23.5 17.6 Gender Male 66 98.5 48.4 37.1 14.5 N/A 14.5 17.6 Female 100.0 29.8 33.3 17.6 68 17.5 49.1 3.5 Racial/Ethnic Group 21.8 1.7 White 134 99.3 33.6 42.9 23.5 17.6 African-American 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A Asian/Pacific Islander N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 N/A 0.0 Hispanic N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 23.5 43.5 30.6 2.4 32.9 17.6 94 Disabled 40 97.5 58.8 41.2 N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Migrant Status Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 17.6 Non-migrant 99.3 33.6 42.9 21.8 1.7 23.5 17.6 134 English Proficiency Limited English proficient N/A N/A 17.6 N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A Non-limited English proficient 134 99.3 33.6 42.9 21.8 1.7 23.5 17.6 Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 100.0 38.2 39.7 20.6 1.5 22.1 17.6 79 Full-pay meals 25.5 55 98.2 27.5 47.1 23.5 2.0 17.6 Mathematics All students 100.0 18.3 35.8 18.3 45.8 15.5 27.5 134 Gender Male 66 100.0 22.2 38.1 25.4 14.3 39.7 15.5 Female 100.0 14.0 33.3 29.8 15.5 68 22.8 52.6 Racial/Ethnic Group White 134 100.0 18.3 35.8 27.5 18.3 45.8 15.5 African-American 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A Asian/Pacific Islander N/A N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A 15.5 N/A Hispanic N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 American Indian/Alaskan N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Disability Status Not disabled 100.0 8.2 28.2 37.6 25.9 63.5 15.5 94 Disabled 40 100.0 42.9 54.3 2.9 N/A 2.9 15.5 Migrant Status Migrant N/A 0.0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 15.5 Non-migrant 100.0 18.3 35.8 27.5 18.3 45.8 15.5 134

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A

18.3

23.5

11.5

N/A

134

79

55

0.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

English Proficiency
Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

N/A

35.8

35.3

36.5

N/A

27.5

27.9

26.9

N/A

18.3

13.2

25.0

N/A

45.8

41.2

51.9

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

-/-		JRIVIAINL	_	_	,.c.		/ x	/ x		
			out 18 sting	, kg	Basil	/ ¿ic /	ficient	Jancet		
		rolle	10 16 010	rested ala Be	JOH OF	Basic ol	Proficient old	Advanced Notice		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	English N/A	n/Languag N/A	ge Arts N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
~	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2002	Grade 6	51	N/A	25.5	49.0	17.6	7.8	25.5		
	Grade 7	40	N/A	35.0	37.5	27.5	N/A	27.5		
v	Grade 8	38	N/A	18.4	65.8	10.5	5.3	15.8		
•	Olddo o		14// (10.4	00.0	10.0	0.0	10.0		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2003	Grade 6	41	100.0	37.5	37.5	22.5	2.5	25.0		
	Grade 7	49	100.0	22.7	52.3	22.7	2.3	25.0		
	Grade 8	44	97.7	42.9	37.1	20.0	N/A	20.0		

Mathematics										
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	51	N/A	17.6	35.3	31.4	15.7	47.1		
	Grade 7	40	N/A	35.0	42.5	15.0	7.5	22.5		
•	Grade 8	38	N/A	36.8	52.6	10.5	N/A	10.5		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	41	100.0	15.0	27.5	37.5	20.0	57.5		
	Grade 7	49	100.0	15.9	36.4	25.0	22.7	47.7		
	Grade 8	44	100.0	25.0	44.4	19.4	11.1	30.6		

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 138)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	11.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	1.4%	Down from 12.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	97.0%	Up from 96.5%	94.9%	95.2%
	7.6%	Up from 3.9%	12.5%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	28.5%	Down from 30.9%	14.7%	14.1%
	2.2%	Down from 8.5%	4.2%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	1.1%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 6)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	33.3%	N/A	45.2%	47.1%
	83.3%	N/A	81.6%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	84.0%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.5%	Up from 95.9%	94.8%	95.0%
	\$31,289	N/A	\$38,921	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.2 days	Up from 10.0 days	11.0 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	8.0	Up from 7.0	3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	8.5 to 1	Down from 9.1 to 1	20.9 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	91.8%	Down from 92.0%	88.6%	88.9%
	\$4,823	Down 175.6%	\$5,752	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	56.8%	Down from 62.6%	62.0%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Down from 99.3%	96.0%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	ves	N/A	yes	yes
	, 50		,	,

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

						Ū			
1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Our Middle School staff continually strives for excellence. Students have shown improvement on the PACT test and participated in various academic contests. They took part in the 2002 Writing Award sponsored by the Lieutenant Governor's office. Two students were named semi-finalists in the district for Letters about Literature contest. One student was named the State Winner and another placed 3rd at the state level for middle schools. Tamassee-Salem also had a student awarded the opportunity to attend the 2003 Young Writers' Conference for SC. In a co-effort by teacher and student, an article was published in the spring article of the National Council for Teaching Mathematics magazine.

Our Middle school team met and addressed concerns, made recommendations and incorporated staff development in their meetings. Middle School teachers attended conferences for classroom management, IEPs, and "Creating a Learning Centered School." They also attended classes on Brain Research to enhance personal professional development.

The School Improvement Council met monthly with the administration and continued to become a more active group within the school. They participated with the staff and administration during the Fall and Spring Open Houses. They greeted and informed parents of upcoming meetings and made an effort to recruit more active participants. The SIC members were also involved in the determination and distribution of the Palmetto Gold and Silver Award funds. Finding more active volunteers continues to be a perennial issue, though we have had much verbal support.

We are accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools and once again received an "All Clear" from the Office of School Quality.

William A. Hines Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.